ning. So my feeling is, my own opinion is that we should be limited to two terms. I think if he is a good governor, he can get his program through in two terms and if he can't get it through in two terms, he is a bad governor, and we ought to get rid of him just at the end of two terms without even having any problem with him, so that's my answer, such as it is on the first question.

The second question, Should the Constitution provide for a method of succession to the governorship in the event of physical or mental incapacity of the Chief Executive?

I think it will be wise, I believe, to have a Commission of State Officials including say, the State Health Commissioner, the Commissioner of Mental Hygiene, and the Attorney General, among others, to pass on the question of a governor's capacity to continue serving, under carefully prescribed conditions. Strict safeguards should be spelled out, of course, to prevent any possible abuse of the power. Neither the Legislature nor any member of the Legislature should be involved in this Commission's decision.